

COVID-END Logic Model

COVID-19 Problems

Decision makers do not always have high-quality, synthesized evidence to meet their needs

Evidence ecosystem less coordinated than optimal

More duplication of synthesis-related activities than is constructive

New entrants not always aware of best approaches and tools

Evidence synthesis activities are of variable quality

Inputs

People

Partners
- supply &
demand side
Community

Working groups

Secretariat

MUN MPH students

Other resources

Project funds (Ontario Ministry of Health)

Work Streams

Scoping

Engaging

Digitizing

Synthesizing

Recommending

Packaging

Sustaining

Mechanisms

Convening partners

Sharing

knowledge & expertise

Collaborating

with partners, community & stakeholders

Curating

useful & high-quality synthesis resources

Producing

products, tools & processes

Responding

to stakeholder needs

Amplifying

partner resources

Advocating

for a more effective evidence ecosystem

Outputs

Uptake & use of tools produced by COVID-END

Better alignment of partner activities

Increased collaboration

Less redundancy in synthesis activities

Target Groups

Primary

Decision makers

Researchers

Secondary

Citizens

Funders

Media?

Short-term Outcomes

Better quality, more accessible and timely synthesis products

More evidenceinformed decision makers

Expected Influences

Improved global response to COVID-19 pandemic

More connected evidence ecosystem that can better support health and social system transformation