

What's on our List of Priority Topics for Living Evidence Syntheses?

- Public-health measures
 - Supporting adherence to measures, including better communicating rationale including trade-offs (including in politicized contexts and for politicized issues)
 - Strategies for testing and for test-track-trace approaches that optimize the use of existing capacity
 - Outbreak contributors (from interdisciplinary outbreak studies)
 - Surveillance, analytic and synthesis capacity and linkages to other parts of the health system
- Clinical management of COVID-19 and pandemic-related conditions
 - Long COVID (among people without severe COVID) and/or long-term sequelae of severe COVID
 - Screening for and managing emergent mental health and substance use issues
 - Concurrent management of COVID-19 and other (seasonal) infections







What's on our List of Priority Topics for Living Evidence Syntheses? (2)

- Health-system arrangements
 - Managing vaccine distribution allocation and approaches under shortage conditions, leveraging vaccine trust and addressing vaccine hesitancy, and capturing lessons learned from roll-outs
 - Approaches to strategic purchasing of supplies and equipment (e.g., personal protective equipment and liquid nitrogen for vaccine storage) that balance accountabilities up & out
 - Responsive and agile
 - Restoration of non-COVID services when possible (by developing or capitalizing on 'slack' within health systems)
 - Efforts to address health human resource shortages (and motivation & wellbeing)
 - Consolidating and optimizing the value achieved through shifts in virtual care
 - Packages of responses (public-health / health-system) and combinations of centralized
 & decentralized approaches (from studies of variations in response to local and regional outbreaks and/or changes in incidence rates)
- Economic and social responses (e.g., to address poverty and domestic violence)







Ideas for our Tips Sheet for Teams Taking Up Priority Topics for Living Evidence Syntheses?

- Consider interdisciplinary teams (e.g., laboratory, IPAC, engineering, data modeling, outbreak studies, behavioural and social sciences, science communication) alongside methodological experts?
- Consider committing to explicitly
 - Examine benefits and harms (health outcomes), citizen experiences, and costs (both for delivery and for the economic and social consequences)?
 - Foreground equity considerations?
- Consider committing to explicit cycles or triggers for updating living evidence syntheses (and/or at least to finding a home for an evidence synthesis when an emergent issue becomes long-tern or recurring and needs to become a living evidence synthesis)



