



Assessing Evidence-support Systems and Identifying Priorities for Next Steps

COVID-END Partners Meeting

3 March 2022

Mike G. Wilson, PhD

Assistant Director, McMaster Health Forum Associate Professor, Health Evidence and Impact





Background

- Global Commission on Evidence to Address Societal Challenges
 - Now is the time to systematize the aspects of using evidence that have gone well and address the many shortfalls
 - □ The Evidence Commission's 'pathways to influence' work has now started in full force on domestic evidence infrastructures and global evidence architecture (through the G20 and UN system entities)
- Key steps at the country level:
 - Rapid jurisdictional assessments
 - 'Deeper dive' to support efforts to build more coordinated evidencesupport systems in Canada



Rapid Jurisdictional Assessments (1)

- Goal: Supporting rapid jurisdictional assessments and contributing to a cross-jurisdictional network of government entities engaged in a similar process of systematizing and filling gaps in evidence-support systems
- Jurisdictions: Australia, Canada's federal / BC / ON governments,
 China, Indonesia (TBC), Ireland, Israel, and UK, plus additional LMICs
- Within-jurisdiction focus: Central agencies (bringing a whole-of-government perspective) and three departments/topics (one of which is health and others could be digitally supported economic transformation, education, gender-based violence, humanitarian emergency, and net-zero emissions), as well as related UN system entities' country, regional and global offices
- **Methods**: Website/document review and 10 interviews → prioritization of what to systematize and what gaps to fill



Examples of Prioritized Next Steps (1)

- Adapt the UK cabinet office approach to eliciting 'areas of interest' where political leaders have unanswered questions and then flow evidence funding to these priorities
- Start a conversation among evidence producers about reducing duplication and increasing quality, caution them about expert opinion and old-school expert panels, and expose them to new approaches (like living syntheses & guidelines) and to domestic and global assets
- Adapt the COVID-END model for domestic evidence-demand and evidence-supply coordination (e.g., a one-year investment of \$1.34M yielded 86 products on 34 topics – keeping in mind that some of these topics are massive, like what do we know about vaccine effectiveness against variants – as well as the intake / scoping / commissioning process, inventory of best evidence syntheses, twice-per-month spotlights, and monthly horizon scans to identify emerging issues)



Examples of Prioritized Next Steps (2)

- Experiment with new evidence products (including infographics) that bring together many forms of evidence, continue to innovate in stakeholder- and citizen-engagement processes and begin supporting the government's many advisory groups, and use a collective-impact approach in all of this work (only in line departments like health or in partnership with other units in central agencies)
- Influence the prioritization of and leverage evidence-related global public goods directly (e.g., through domestic contributions) and through what we hope will be future multi-lateral bodies' investments (e.g., Cochrane, Campbell, CEE, and PROSPERO)
- Institutionalize evidence use by building capacity for it, prompting it (e.g., evidence checklist and advisory-group procedures), documenting it, and ideally rewarding it





Building Coordinated Evidence-support Systems in Canada

 Funding from Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Project Grant fund (PJT- 180388)



- Co-investigators (with me and John as principal investigators)
 - Jean-Louis Denis, Maureen Dobbins, Jeremy Grimshaw, Gary Groot, Anne Hayes, Laura Hillier, Bev Holmes, Mike Law, Cynthia Lisée, Braden Manns, Tara Sampalli, Marina Salvadori, Maureen Smith, Kerry Waddell
 - Team will collaborate as an interdisciplinary steering committee to guide the project and includes policymakers and citizen leaders





Overview of Project

- Evaluate COVID-19 advisory structures and processes to identify key features and activities of the pandemic evidence response and derive lessons learned to strengthen evidence-support systems
- Develop and maintain a **living and searchable Canadian evidence-support system map** of evidence-support infrastructure designed to respond to pressing policy needs
- Identify: 1) insights about whether and how different forms of evidence support were prioritized and used by decision-makers during the pandemic; and 2) lessons learned that could be applied to the full array of health- and social-system challenges we face
- Convene a stakeholder dialogue to spark action for piloting and scaling up efforts to build a coordinated evidence-support system to address and health- and social-system challenges in Canada





Questions?

My contact information

□ Email: wilsom2@mcmaster.ca

Twitter: @Wilson_MichaelG