1. **FOLLOW-UP ON ACTION ITEMS**	**15 min**
a. *Review previous meeting notes and action items from August 28* (see attachment 2) b. *Discuss inventory presentation from previous meeting and next steps for Recommending WG*	
2. **RECOMMENDING WG PRESENTATION AT PARTNERS MEETING**	**15 min**

a. *Debrief and discuss the presentation 'International alliance and AGREE-ment of 45 rapid guidelines on the management of critical care patients with COVID-19'* (see attachment 3)
b. *Questions from presentation:*
   i. *From Per Olav Vandvik: Great work Yasser. How do you think your AGREE II scores compare with TRUST scores (IOM trustworthy guideline criteria tool, as used by ECRI Guideline Trust) compare?*
   ii. *From Elie Akl: Thanks Amer. Rapid guidelines use a number of "shortcuts". could that explain the results on domain 3? AGREE II was developed for standard guidelines, and might not be optimal for rapid guidelines?*
   iii. *From Gabriel Rada: Thanks Amer, did you measure or plan to explore if the recommendations were up to date (e.g. based directly or indirectly [through reviews] including all of the available trials)?*
   iv. *From Per Olav Vandvik: I think rapid guidelines need to be assessed for trustworthiness and any shortcuts should be communicated through quality assessments*	

3. **GUIDELINES DOCUMENT**	**20 min**
4. **JOINT SYNTHESIZING-RECOMMENDING MEETING**	**5 min**

a. *Next meeting: Wednesday September 16th at 7am EST*
b. *Agenda to include:*
   i. *Allison Tong from the University of Sydney to join to discuss core outcomes set*
   ii. *Discuss registry of guidelines*	

5. **ANY OTHER BUSINESS**	**5 min**