

Lessons Learned from COVID-END

ESPIE, 3 December 2020

John N. Lavis, MD PhD

Co-Lead, COVID-END

Director, McMaster Health Forum Director, WHO Collaborating Centre for Evidence-Informed Policy Professor, McMaster University







Acknowledgements

- Funding for COVID-END
 - Government of Ontario (through a grant to Rapid-Improvement Support and Exchange, or RISE), which supports the locally focused parts of our work
 - National Institute of Health Research (Evidence Synthesis Program), UK
 - Individual donors through the Centre for Effective Altruism and Fidelity Charitable, USA, and private individual donors





COVID-END

- Time-limited network that has come together in response to the COVID-19 pandemic to help
 - Those supporting decision-making about COVID-19 to find and use the best available scientific evidence (i.e. evidence-demand side)
 - Researchers to avoid waste by reducing duplication in and better coordinating the COVID-19 evidence syntheses, technology assessments & guidelines being produced (i.e., evidence-supply side)
- Partners include 50+ of the world's leading evidence-synthesis, technology-assessment, and guideline groups
- Covers the full spectrum of pandemic responses
 - Public-health measures, clinical management, health-system arrangements, and economic & social responses
 - High-income and low-and-middle-income country contexts









COVID-END Resources for Those Supporting Decision-making (<u>https://www.covid-end.org</u>)

- Inventory of 'best' evidence syntheses for COVID-19 decisions
- Horizon scans for emerging issues
- Community of those supporting decision-making
- Living hub of COVID-19 knowledge hubs
- Additional supports
 - Guide to COVID-19 evidences sources
 - Evidence-packaging resources
 - Evidence-support models
 - Tips and tools





COVID-END Resources for Researchers (https://www.covid-end.org)

- Priorities for new evidence syntheses and guidelines (coming soon)
- Supports for evidence synthesizers
- Supports for guideline developers (coming soon)





Case for Doing Things Differently As We Transition from a Sprint to a Marathon

- Remarkable number of single studies being published everyday has created a very high noise-to-signal ratio;
- One-off reviews on long-term and recurring issues are quickly **out of date**
- Many rapid (and full) reviews are of low quality
- Few reviews about interventions provide a GRADE evidence profile that speaks to the level of certainty of the available evidence
- Too many evidence syntheses address the same topic (e.g., >200 prognostic reviews and only 5 such reviews address ≥ 5 factors)
- Too many key decisions have no available evidence synthesis (let alone a living evidence synthesis that is updated as new studies are published)
- The small number of existing living evidence syntheses often address same topic (e.g., 3 living network meta-analyses of COVID-19 treatments)





Case for Doing Things Differently As We Transition from a Sprint to a Marathon (2)

- More on the high noise-to-signal ratio ->
 Start with recently updated, high-quality evidence syntheses, which
 - Reduce the likelihood that decision-makers will be **misled** by research (by being more systematic and transparent in the identification, selection, appraisal, and synthesis of studies)
 - Increase confidence among decision makers about what can be expected from an intervention (by increasing the number of units for study)
 - Allow decision makers to focus on how findings do or don't vary by context and population (ideally using an explicit equity lens) and hence what the evidence means for a specific jurisdiction at a specific moment in time
 - Allow stakeholders, including public interest or civil society groups, to constructively contest research evidence because it is laid out for them in a more systematic and transparent way
- These evidence syntheses are distinct from jurisdictional scans





What Does the Marathon Look Like?

Inventory of best evidence syntheses for COVID-19 decisions

- 'Best' defined by recency of search, quality of review, and GRADE evidence profile availability
- Declarative title to facilitate relevance assessments (e.g., PICO and certainty level)
- Additional information about 'living' status, synthesis type, and synthesis question

Horizon scans for emerging issues and topic prioritization

- Monthly briefing note drawing on horizon scans from around the globe
- Monthly meeting of a panel of 36+ diverse strategic and 'out-of-the-box' thinkers and doers (with diversity defined in relation to our taxonomy, target audiences, WHO regions, and primary languages spoken)
- List of priority topics for living evidence syntheses (and efforts to encourage, nudge and cajole teams to take them on)
- Robust local efforts to contextualize the evidence for decision-making
 - E.g., rapid-evidence profiles in 4 hours, 1 day, 2 days or 3 days (which provide both 'best evidence' and jurisdictional scans)



HEALTH FORUM





Where Are We in the Transition to Marathon?

- Inventory of best evidence syntheses for COVID-19 decisions (as of 13/11)
 - **3,300+ harvested** (with PROSPERO protocols our only key source outstanding)
 - 2,400+ non-duplicates
 - 1,100+ decision-relevant syntheses included in database
 - **150+ included** in inventory based on three criteria for 'best' evidence syntheses
- Horizon scans for emerging issues and topic prioritization
 - □ Four monthly panel meetings to date (with all **reports available on our website**)
 - Starting to use up and down voting for priority topics for evidence syntheses
- List of priority topics for living evidence syntheses (and efforts to encourage, nudge and cajole interdisciplinary teams to take them on, plus tips for teams)
 - First draft of the list will be posted any day and team building to begin soon





What's on our List of Priority Topics for Living Evidence Syntheses?

- Public-health measures
 - Supporting adherence to measures, including better communicating the rationale including trade-offs (including in politicized contexts and for politicized issues)
 - Strategies for testing and for test-track-trace approaches that optimize the use of existing capacity
 - Outbreak contributors (from interdisciplinary outbreak studies)
 - Surveillance, analytic and synthesis capacity in public-health units and linkages to other parts of the health system
- Clinical management of COVID-19 and pandemic-related conditions
 - Long COVID (among people without severe COVID) and/or long-term sequelae of severe COVID
 - Screening for and managing emergent mental health and substance use issues
 - **Concurrent management** of COVID-19 and other (seasonal) infections
 - Emergence of endemic diseases in urban environments





What's on our List of Priority Topics for Living Evidence Syntheses? (2)

Health-system arrangements

- Managing vaccine purchasing, allocation, ordering, distribution and inventories under shortage conditions, leveraging vaccine trust and addressing vaccine hesitancy, and capturing lessons learned from roll-outs
- Approaches to strategic purchasing of supplies and equipment (e.g., personal protective equipment and liquid nitrogen for vaccine storage) that balance accountabilities up & out
- Responsive and agile
 - Restoration of non-COVID services when possible (by developing or capitalizing on 'slack' within health systems)
 - Efforts to address **health human resource** shortages (and motivation & wellbeing)
- Consolidating and optimizing the value achieved through shifts in virtual care
- Packages of responses (public-health / health-system) and combinations of centralized & decentralized approaches (from studies of variations in response to local and regional outbreaks and/or changes in incidence rates)







What's on our List of Priority Topics for Living Evidence Syntheses? (3)

Economic and social responses

- Culture and gender Additional risks of gender-based and domestic violence arising from restrictions and appropriate ways to address such violence
- Education Benefits and harms to students, educators and families arising from school closures, re-openings and operations as well as for pedagogical innovations that can support ongoing education
- Financial protection Enhancing financial security by adjusting 'safety nets' and supporting workforce (re)training
- Food safety and security Approaches to addressing food supply-chain challenges and food poverty
- Climate action Additional risks of environmental crisis and maximizing the opportunity for synergies between the COVID-19 response and climate action
- **Transportation -** Managing the risks related to **tourism** and **travel**
- Citizenship Linking community participation in the pandemic response with outcomes and capturing innovations in participatory approaches







Tips for Teams Taking Up Priority Topics for Living Evidence Syntheses

- Consider committing to explicitly
 - Foreground equity considerations
 - Examine benefits and harms (health outcomes but also economic and social outcomes), citizen experiences, and costs
 - Acknowledge variation in government capacity

or Implementation

- Consider interdisciplinary teams (e.g., laboratory, IPAC, engineering, data modeling, outbreak studies, behavioural & social sciences, equity, science communication and citizens) alongside methodological experts
- Consider committing to explicit cycles or triggers for updating living evidence syntheses (and/or at least to finding a home for an evidence synthesis when an emergent issue becomes long-term or recurring and needs to become a living evidence synthesis)







COVID-END https://www.covid-end.org

