Rapid Review Resources



Resources for Rapid Review Methods Guidance

In this document you will find some helpful links to methodological guidance and reporting tools for rapid reviews.

What is a Rapid Review?

A range of terms has been used to describe rapid reviews in the scientific community, including rapid evidence synthesis, rapid literature review, brief review, quick review, rapid systematic review, with **rapid review** being the most commonly used term.¹

A formal or consensus definition of rapid review does not exist; however, rapid reviews have been described as a type of knowledge synthesis in which components of the systematic review processes are simplified or omitted to produce information in a shorter period of time.²



Watch this two-part Cochrane Learning Live webinar presented by Dr. Andrea Tricco:

• Part 1: Difference between systematic reviews and rapid reviews

o Part 2: An Overview of Rapid Review Methods

List of Rapid Review Guidance Resources

- Tricco AC, Langlois EV, Straus SE, editors. Rapid reviews to strengthen health policy and systems: a practical guide. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. Available from <u>https://www.who.int/alliance-hpsr/resources/publications/rapid-review-guide/en/</u>
 - Link to guide: Rapid reviews to strengthen health policy and systems: a practical guide
 - Link to teaching slides: <u>Learning Modules</u>
- Tricco AC, Garritty CM, Boulos L, Lockwood C, Wilson M, McGowan J, McCaul M, Hutton B, Clement F, Mittmann N, Devane D, Langlois EV, Abou-Setta AM, Houghton C, Glenton C, Kelly SE, Welch VA, LeBlanc A, Wells GA, Pham B, Lewin S, Straus SE. Rapid review methods more challenging during COVID-19: commentary with a focus on 8 knowledge synthesis steps. J Clin Epidemiol. 2020 Oct;126:177-183. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2020.06.029.
- Garritty C, Gartlehner G, Kamel C, King VJ, Nussbaumer-Streit B, Stevens A, Hamel C, Affengruber L. Cochrane Rapid Reviews. Interim Guidance from the Cochrane Rapid Reviews Methods Group. March 2020. Available from <u>https://methods.cochrane.org/rapidreviews/sites/methods.cochrane.org.rapidreviews/files/public/upl oads/cochrane_rr_guidance-23mar2020-v1.pdf</u>
- Garritty C, Gartlehner G, Nussbaumer-Streit B, King VJ, Hamel C, Kamel C, L A, Stevens A. Cochrane Rapid Reviews Methods Group offers evidence-informed guidance to conduct rapid reviews. J Clin Epidemiol. 2020 Oct 14:S0895-4356(20)31146-X. doi: <u>10.1016/j.jclinepi.2020.10.007</u>.

¹ Tricco AC, Antony J, Zarin W, Strifler L, Ghassemi M, Ivory J, Perrier L, Hutton B, Moher D, Straus SE. A scoping review of rapid review methods. BMC Med. 2015;13:224. <u>doi: 10.1186/s12916-015-0465-6</u>.

² Khangura S, Konnyu K, Cushman R, Grimshaw J, Moher D. Evidence summaries: the evolution of a rapid review approach. Syst Rev. 2012;1:10. doi: <u>10.1186/2046-4053-1-10</u>.

Rapid Review Resources



Topic Registration

National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools

This platform can be used to register COVID-19 related rapid review topics. Please also search this database containing to check for redundancy and duplication.

Protocol Registration Platform

PROSPERO

PROSPERO is an international prospective register that accepts protocol for systemic reviews, **rapid reviews**, and umbrella reviews in health and social care, welfare, public health, education, crime, justice, and international development, where there is a health related outcome.

OSF Registries

Open Science Framework is a free, open platform to support research and enable collaboration.

Literature Search

<u>CADTH COVID-19 Search Strings</u>

CADTH information specialists have developed a peer-reviewed a set of search strings for topics related to COVID-19.

• <u>CADTH COVID-19 Grey Literature Resources</u> CADTH information specialists have compiled a curated list of grey literature sources.

Reporting Guidelines

PRISMA Checklist

Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) is an evidencebased minimum set of items for reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. The checklist can be used to report rapid reviews as well.

Engaing Patients and Citizens as Partners in a Rapid Review

Consider involving patients and public in the following stages of a rapid review:

| Г | - 6- |
|---|------------|
| I | <u>ه</u> _ |
| I | ଟ — |
| I | 0 — J |
| U | |

PROTOCOL DEVELOPMENT

Involve two or more patients/citizens in the protocol development phase. They can help:

- Refine the research questions
- Define selection criteria (population, intervention, comparison, outcomes)
- Prioritize outcomes of importance
- Refine data analysis plan (e.g., grouping/subgrouping population and outcomes)



SYNTHESIS & WRITING

Involve two or more patients/citizens in the interpretation of findings and writing stage. They can help:

- Provide feedback on the key messages
- Co-develop plain language summaries
- Plan for dissemination

For more information on how to meaningfully and actively collaborate with patients and public in research, please refer to the **Patient and Citizen Engagement Tips** document.